

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/768,936	01/30/2004	Jeffrey Herman	VOI0289.US	8513	
7590 06/06/2006			EXAMINER		
Todd T. Taylor			HUG, ERIC J		
TAYLOR & A	•				
142 S. Main St	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 560			1731		
Avilla, IN 46710			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 -				
·	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	_			
		,936	HERMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Exami	ner .	Art Unit	_			
	Eric Hu		1731				
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH - Extensions of time may be available under the proving after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this to the state of the st	E MAILING DATE OF sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. In statutory period will apply an reply will, by statute, cause the other after the mailing date of this	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tind will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
• •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to solve to restrict to restrict the day of	is/are withdrawn from						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/	·	•					
Applicant may not request that any o	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) The oath or declaration is objected.		= : :					
The battrol declaration is objecte	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOMIN PTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			Ĭ,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the Intern	· ·		su in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office a	· ·	1 1	ed.				
		•					
Attachment(c)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	9 or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a dewatering system in a paper machine, classified in class 162, subclass 358.1, and claims 41-49, drawn to a dewatering fabric, classified in class 162, subclass 358.2.
- II. Claims 19-27, drawn to a paper machine moisture removal system, classified in class 162, subclass 358.3.
- III. Claims 28-29, drawn to a method of manufacturing a fibrous web, classified in class 162, subclass 205.
- IV. Claims 30-40, drawn to a method of manufacturing a fibrous web, classified in class 162, subclass 206.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

All four inventions are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the systems of inventions I and II recite different designs. The methods of inventions III and IV recite different modes of operation. The systems of inventions I and II perform different methods than those claimed by inventions III and IV. Similarly inventions III and IV require different systems than those of inventions I and II in order to perform the methods. Each of the inventions requires a search of

Application/Control Number: 10/768,936

Art Unit: 1731

substantially different limitations and combinations of limitations, which presents a serious burden for the examiner.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1731

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Hug whose telephone number is 571 272-1192. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

 $\lim_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_j$